



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.44, No.18

May 16th, 2008

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The first thing to be remembered is that Australia is a common law country, and, as Mr. Justice Dixon once said, that simple statement carries with it 'prodigious consequences'. (Common law as defined in the *Oxford Dictionary* is the "unwritten law of England as administered by the Queen's Courts, based on ancient and universal usage and embodied in commentaries and reported cases".)... The outlook of a people nurtured in, and living under Roman Law, as so many European countries do, can never be the same as that of a people whose conceptions of government, of liberty, of justice, and of right, have been moulded by the common law. Further, it must be recognised that the vast majority of the signatory countries to the present (UN) Covenants, are not protected by the common law, and may feel that specific guarantees are necessary... Having looked carefully at Senator Murphy's Bill I doubt whether there is a solitary matter in it which has not been dealt with under our common law system to protect the rights of the individual... I am sure that most lawyers would recognise that to live in a common law country is in itself the very best guarantee of the rights of the individual..."

– Sir Robert Menzies, 1974, quoted in *Intelligence Survey*, September 1982

OUR P.C. AND INTELLECTUALLY LOST UNIVERSITIES by James Reed: There has been considerable debate in the printed word about Griffith University's chasing of Saudi government money for an Islamic Research Unit. The debate has been – ignoring side issues such as plagiarism of Wikipedia articles – that the institution would promote or be seen to promote radical Islamic Wahabbism. Don't take my word for it, here is *The Australian* of 29/4/08 p.7, "Jihad Body Linked to Saudi Uni Row":

"The Muslim cleric at the centre of Griffith University's Saudi embassy donation affair – Mohamad Abdalla – is regarded as the Brisbane leader of an Islamic group whose overseas members have been linked to al-Qa'ida and the 2005 London bombings.

Dr. Abdalla who has refused to be drawn on the Tablighi Jamaat group, has been identified as its Brisbane head by Muslim community figures, including prominent Islamic leader Fadi Rahman. "He's the head of Tablighi in Brisbane," said Mr. Rahman, who attended the 2020 Summit as a delegate with Dr. Abdalla. "I know Mohamad Abdalla very well," he said.

Should we be concerned about such revelations? No, not according to Queensland's Police Commissioner Bob Atkinson. Here is what *The Australian*, 30/4/08, says about the Police Commissioner.

"Queensland's Police Commissioner Bob Atkinson last night intervened to support the Islamic scholar,

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *Our P.C. and Intellectually Lost Universities* • *Entangled Further and Further in Human Rights Web*

who, *The Australian* revealed last week, had in 2006 sought \$1.37 million from the Saudi embassy and offered to keep elements of the deal secret. Mr. Atkinson praised Dr. Abdalla for engaging with authorities and promoting harmony between Brisbane's Muslims and the rest of the community. He dismissed Dr. Abdalla's links to Tablighi Jamaat – whose overseas members have been linked to al-Qaeda and the 2005 London bombings – saying the Griffith academic was a role model for young Muslim men. "His stance from what I have seen of him, is in terms of being a moderate person, a sensible person, a person who encourages the Islamic community to engage with the broader Australian community," he said. "(He) works extremely well with youth and has a very positive influence on youth."

So, there you have it. Multiculturalism will save us!

But not at expense of our kids: As for our universities, here is the *real* reality, put in an article by Glenda Korporaal "Foreign students, but not at expense of our kids", *The Weekend Australian*, 26-27/4/08, p.28:

"They are the ugly side of the years of neglect of the funding of our universities: the alarming sight of hordes of well organised, red-flag carrying Chinese students bussed in from Sydney and Melbourne and other places, marshalled by megaphone-carrying organisers (whoever they were) and intimidating peaceful protesters; and a torch relay where ordinary Canberra citizens and their kids deliberately kept away from an event to which they would have loved to turn up on a sunny day on their school holidays."

Well, wake up folks, to the picture on our campuses, where full fee-paying foreign students represent 25 per cent of our total enrolments, a situation forced on the universities because of cutbacks in their federal funding and limitations on our own population: middle-class hardworking Australian families who are willing to pay for their kids' university education.

It's a situation where our university leaders, who should be focussing on running top-quality educational organisations primarily servicing and educating kids of taxpaying Australians, spend too much time offshore recruiting new students and wringing their hands at the thought that their foreign students intake may fall away."

The McWorld rammed down our throats: But don't get too excited; Korporaal goes on to say: "Yes, we do want foreign students in Australia. They add to the richness of our culture. Having Asian students has always been part of our integration with the region."

To this I say: who wants a "rich" culture and who wants to be integrated with the region? Not me! The "rich" culture is the present McWorld which we have had rammed down our throats. And I for one, never voted on the issue of Asianisation.

ENTANGLED FURTHER AND FURTHER IN HUMAN RIGHTS WEB by Betty Luks: Professor Andrew Fraser at the 2006 Inverell Forum observed: Australians now bear the burdens of having corrupt and parasitical leaders and elites – without any of the benefits!

And part of their agenda is to churn out more and more 'Human Rights' legislation, encroaching further and further on *our* rights and freedoms.

Australians were slow to grasp the political changes. The politics of Left-wing-Right-wing was replaced with that of a Top-Down culture. The Top-Down elite increasingly sought power and authority not just over our deeds, but over our words, thoughts and emotions. Through such an array of 'racial and religious vilification' laws, speech codes and 'hate-crime' statutes, which subjectively criminalise speech and opinion, offensive to certain designated groups, Australians found themselves further and further entangled in a web of constrictive legislation and bureaucratic controls. The latest moves in the field of 'human rights' are:

Victoria: In Victoria there is a proposed Review of the Equal Opportunity Act 1995. The Equal Opportunity Review intends to study the 1995 Act and its "powers to prevent discrimination and enforce the law" and "the resolution of discrimination complaints; and how the Victorian Equal Opportunity and Human Rights Commission is governed."

New South Wales: A 'gay' couple took legal action when their application to become foster carers was refused by a welfare agency linked to the Uniting Church. "They lodged a complaint with the N.S.W. Anti-Discrimination Board after being told an application to become foster carers would not be accepted" on the grounds "they are homosexual." (*Daily Telegraph*, 25/4/08). The Iemma Government has since flagged it will change the law to grant lesbian parents the same parenting rights as heterosexuals.

The Uniting Church in Australia (N.S.W.) has been told by the N.S.W. Administrative Decisions Tribunal that "it cannot refuse to accept homosexuals as foster carers."

The psychopolitical attack: If Christians had retained the historical knowledge of the very first foundations of the *Christian Church in England* they would not have been so easily deceived; if they had retained the knowledge of the struggles of their forefathers to gain the freedoms now lost; if their own Church leaders had not become infected with the Marxist-One World virus, they would have easily grasped that their own freedoms, would be in danger from the United Nations' Human Rights Conventions. But now enacted legislation and bureaucratic controls are entangling not just individuals, but the organised churches as well. Well, well, well.

How many really believed the earlier enactment of racial and religious vilification legislation was aimed only at such outspoken 'racist' groups as the Australian League of Rights? In truth, the strategy and tactics of the psychopolitical brigade suggest, the League was merely the earlier scapegoat for what was intended for all in the long-term. While the agents of change were busily indoctrinating the younger generations within the education systems, the League of Rights was used to demonstrate what timid individuals and leaders of institutions to expect should they even so much as express a 'politically incorrect' thought.

One of its founders, Eric D. Butler – a true Australian patriot – was smeared and besmirched continually by Marxist-infected church leaders, party-politicians and mainline media, but his character was such, in spite of the attacks, he continued serving his people all his active life.

The Threatened Destruction of the Federal Constitution: In 1982 – that is twenty six years ago – appeared the following warning in an article by another great Australian, Jeremy Lee, in the League's Intelligence Survey: "An horrendous constitutional revolution is taking place in Australia with as yet comparatively few people understanding the far-reaching implications of what can only be described as a programme of treachery designed to destroy the independence and sovereignty of the Commonwealth of Australia."

Destruction of the Family and Nation: In a 1990s submission on proposed Human Rights legislation, the League warned:

"In the several volumes of "Towards World Understanding" an UNESCO document published nearly four decades ago, it was stated: '...it is most frequently in the family that children are infected with nationalism by hearing what is national extolled and what is foreign disparaged... As long as the child breathes the poisoned air of nationalism, education in world-mindedness can produce only rather precarious results"

Thus spoken, the United Nations and its agencies obviously set out with programmes to undermine both the natural instincts of 'family' and 'nation', the first with the contrived issue of 'sexism', and the other – which concerns this submission – the evil so-called, of 'racism', meaning nationalism. The

N 335 405 ONT

results of this dual programme can be seen today in the state of our (and other countries)..." Neither the policies nor the direction has changed in all these years – no matter which political party has been in power.

Further study: The League produced a CD Rom "The Lion of Freedom" which contains forty years of *On Target* editions, as well as other League publications. You can follow the background and history of the Human Rights Conventions and subsequent legislation and effects through a search of this historical material. \$30.00 posted from all Book Services.

AND NOW FOR THE WATER: PLANS THAT WILL CREATE CARTELS: Source: <http://www.abc.net.au/news/stories/2008/05/02/2233307.htm> 2/5/08 by Bruce Haigh: "Water is a vital and increasingly rare commodity. It is essential for the maintenance of life which includes cities, towns, small communities, agriculture and industry.

As a result of the Water Act 2007 taking effect on March 3 of this year, the Minister for Water, Senator Penny Wong, has referred the issue of the sale of water and trading in water licences in the Murray Darling Basin (MDB) to the ACCC.

Submissions from interested parties have been called for <<http://www.accc.gov.au/content/item.php?itemId=815052&nodeId=4ba8d8618a5b232ff854e18facb24d95&fn=Water%20markets%20issues%20paper.pdf>> .

Process should be controlled and answerable to the democratic process: The process being entered into by the Minister and the ACCC implies that there is no alternative to trading in water and that it is both desirable and sustainable. I would argue that this is not so.

Ownership should be controlled by the state for equitable distribution and use by all on a sustainable basis. This process should be controlled by and answerable to the democratic process. It is the right of all citizens to have access to a life sustaining supply of potable water.

How will trading in water and water licences achieve this? Climate change has steadily reduced the flow of water into the MDB. Over the past few years 15 per cent of irrigated vines and trees have been taken out of production in the Murray Irrigation Area due to the reduced amount of water available. Trading in water licences came about from a perceived economic advantage in artificially increasing the availability of water in areas short of water. The greed and lack of commonsense which drove this so-called reform was compounded by the over-allocation of water licences.

Cornering the market: Even as this is being written major banks and irrigation conglomerates are buying up water licences. They are moving to corner the market on water. The intention of the major irrigators is to get enough licences to ensure a minimum supply of water in times of shortage. They also plan to spread their holdings in order to increase access to commercial and tradeable quantities of water. Any excess in any one year will be available for sale.

Leaseholder not owner takes the risks: Smaller producers are more likely to sell their licences and this will be to the major irrigators and banks because they will pay more than the Government. Buying licences from smaller producers will make available cheap land to bigger producers which they can lease back to skilled but cash strapped smaller producers, buy the shareholder crop and by so doing not only reduce their own risk but also ensure a return from the lease. If the crop fails it will be the leaseholder, not the owner, who takes the risk.

Alternatively or in conjunction with the above, land holdings will get bigger which will have the effect of shrinking towns and reducing services, particularly in the areas of health and education.

Continued in "The Bulletin"

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.